21CV47996

1		
2		
3	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON	
4	FOR THE COUNTY OF YAMHILL	
5		
6	CHELSEA SHOTTS,	Case No. 21CV47996
7	Plaintiff,	ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS
8		FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND PLAINTIFF'S CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY HIDCMENT
9	V.	SUMMARY JUDGMENT
10	NEWDERC SCHOOL DISTRICT 201, DAVID	
11	NEWBERG SCHOOL DISTRICT 29J; DAVID BROWN; BRIAN SHANNON; TREVOR DEHART; AND RENEE POWELL,	
12	DEHAKI, AND KENEE FOWELL,	
13	Defendants.	
14		I
15	These matters came before the court on September 22, 2022, the Honorable Cynthia	
16	Easterday presiding, on defendants' motions for summary judgment and plaintiff's cross-motion	
17	for summary judgment. Plaintiff was represented by her attorney Meagan Himes, and	
18	defendants were represented by their attorney Karen O'Kasey.	
19	The court having reviewed the briefings and submissions of the parties, having heard oral	
20	argument and being fully advised on the matter:	
21	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:	
22	1. Plaintiff's cross-motion for summa	ary judgment on plaintiff's claim under Article I
23	Section 8 of the Oregon Constitution is GRANTE	ED. The "Safe Environments to Learn" portion
24	of the Newberg School District's Policy GBG is a content-based restriction on expression under	
25	category one of the Robertson framework, and it	is not subject to any historical exception. It is
26		
Pad	THE 1 - ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION F	OR HART WAGNER LLP

Page 1 – ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND PLAINTIFF'S CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

HART WAGNER LLP Trial Attorneys 1000 S.W. Broadway, Twentieth Floor Portland, Oregon 97205 Telephone: (503) 222-4499 Fax: (503) 222-2301

1	therefore facially unconstitutional, and Defendants are enjoined from enforcing it. Defendants	
2	motion for summary judgment on that claim is DENIED.	
3	2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment on plaintiff's claim under Article 1	
4	Section 20 of the Oregon Constitution is GRANTED.	
5	1T 1S SO ORDERED.	
6		
7	9/28/2022 5:16:12 PM	
8		
9	Cynthia Easterday	
10	Circuit Court Judge Cynthia L. Easterday	
11		
12	Submitted By:	
13	Karen O'Kasey, OSB No. 870696 Hart Wagner LLP	
14	1000 SW Broadway, Suite 2000 Portland, OR 97205	
15	Of Attorneys for Defendants	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
Pag	e 2 – ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND PLAINTIFF'S CROSS-	

ge 2 – ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND PLAINTIFF'S CROSS MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

HART WAGNER LLP Trial Attorneys 1000 S.W. Broadway, Twentieth Floor Portland, Oregon 97205 Telephone: (503) 222-4499 Fax: (503) 222-2301

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I hereby certify that on the 28 th day of September, 2022, I served the foregoing ORDER
3	ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND PLAINTIFF'S
4	CROSS-MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT on the following parties at the following
5	addresses:
6	Alan J. Galloway
7 8	Meagan A. Himes Davis Wright Tremaine LLP 1300 SW Fifth Ave, Suite 2400 Portland, OR 97201
9	Kelly Simon
10	ACLU Foundation of Oregon 506 SW Sixth Ave, Suite 700
11	Portland, OR 97204 Attorneys for Plaintiff
12	
13	by e-mailing a true and correct copy thereof, certified by me as such.
14	
15	
16	/s/ Karen O'Kasey Karen O'Kasey
17	Katen O Kasey
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	CERTIFICATE OF READINESS	
2	I hereby certify that the foregoing proposed judgment or order is ready for judicial signature based on the following:	
3	1. Each opposing party affected by the order or judgment has stipulated to	
4	the order or judgment, as shown by each opposing party's signature on the document being submitted; or	
5	2. \square Each opposing party affected by this order or judgment has approved the	
6 7	order or judgment, as shown by the signature on the document being submitted or by written confirmation of approval sent to me; or	
8	3. I have served a copy of this order or judgment on all parties entitled to service pursuant to UTCR 5.100 (on December 28, 2021) and:	
9	a. No objection has been served on me	
10		
11	b. I received objections that I could not resolve with the opposing party despite reasonable efforts to do so. I have filed a copy of the	
12	objections I received and indicated which objections remain unresolved.	
13 14	c. After conferring about objections [role and name of opposing party] agreed to independently file any remaining objections.	
15 16	4. The relief should is against an opposing party who has been found in default.	
17	5. An order of default is being requested with this proposed judgment.	
18	6. Service is not requested pursuant to subsection (3) of this rule, or by	
19	statue, rule or otherwise.	
20	 This is a proposed judgment that includes an award of punitive damages and notice has been served on the Director of the Crime Victims' Assistance Section as required by subsection (4) of this rule. 	
21		
22		
23	Dated this 28 th day September, 2022.	
24		
25	/s/ Karen O'Kasey Karen O'Kasey	
26		

Page 1 – CERTIFICATE OF READINESS